

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

☆☆☆

SHFL ENTERTAINMENT, INC.,
Plaintiff,
vs.
DIGIDEAL CORPORATION,
Defendant.

2:12-cv-1782-GMN-VCF

ORDER

Before the court are Defendant DigiDeal's motion to stay (#81) and Plaintiff SHFL's motions for leave to file a surreply (#86), motions to compel (#96, #97), and motion to seal (#98). On April 17, 2014, the parties stipulated to a stay, which the court approved. (See Docs. #100, #101). Consequently, DigiDeal's motion to stay (#81) and SHFL's motion for leave (#86) are denied as moot. Additionally, SHFL's motions to compel (#96, #97) are denied with leave to renew after the stay is lifted.

Finally, SHFL moves the court to seal exhibit four to its motion to compel. (See Doc. #96-4).
Under the Ninth Circuit's decision in *Kamanakana v. City & Cnty. of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178-79
(9th Cir. 2006), parties may seal documents related to non-dispositive motions for "good cause." SHFL
argues that the exhibit should be sealed because it contains highly-sensitive, "non-public information
concerning its business operations, and licensing arrangements with third parties." (Pl.'s Mot. to Seal
(#98) at 3:22-23). This satisfies *Kamanakana's* good cause standard. See *Kamanakana*, 447 F.3d at

FILED	RECEIVED
ENTERED	SERVED ON
COUNSEL/PARTIES OF RECORD	
APR 17 2014	
CLERK US DISTRICT COURT	
DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
BY: _____	DEPUTY

1 ACCORDINGLY, and for good cause shown,

2 IT IS ORDERED that DigiDeal's motion to stay (#81) is DENIED AS MOOT.

3 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that SHFL's motion for leave (#86) is DENIED AS MOOT.

4 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that SHFL's motions to compel (#96, #97) are DENIED with leave
5 to renew after the stay is lifted.

6 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that SHFL's motions to seal (#98) is GRANTED.

7 IT IS SO ORDERED.

8 DATED this 17th day of April, 2014.



10
11 CAM FERENBACH
12 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25